

**Tzhone, Stephen**

---

**From:** CC Grisham [grish@me.com]  
**Sent:** Thursday, August 22, 2013 5:34 PM  
**To:** Tzhone, Stephen  
**Cc:** CC Grisham; Sanchez, Carlos  
**Subject:** Fwd: Complaint of EPA staff non-responsiveness/ Arkwood Inc. Partial Deletion/ Fwd: formal request  
**Attachments:** 110426DRAFTGrishamJrConcernsReDraft3rd5yearReview.pdf; ATT00001.htm

Steve,

I would like the following added to my Part V. (suggestions for governmental improvement) and added to the official record:

**Item: EPA ignored my formal questions and concerns submitted before the deadline concerning Draft 3rd 5-year site review of Arkwood Superfund site.**

**Following is salient excerpt from the entire forwarded email string below:**

**On March 22, 2012, I wrote to Carlos Sanchez:**

**“The most important communication to you from me that you have ignored is my document containing my formal comments and concerns for the Draft Third Five-Year Review for Arkwood. I have attached my concerns document here again. It took me many hours to prepare.**

**“I delivered this document to you on April 28, 2011, under the deadline you set. You acknowledged receipt on the same day, when you wrote to me:**

**‘Thank You Mr. Grisham for your comment on the Five Year Review. We will consider your comments before we finalize the report. CAS’**

**“In a reply that you took almost four months for you to make to my May 24, 2011 inquiry, you state:**

**‘Mr. Grisham,**

**Sorry for the delay in completing and sending you the copy of the Third Five Year Review. Here it is.**

**We are also working with the site attorney to respond to your comments and questions you had for the site. Also, we will check with the Region Reuse Coordinators and check with the potential future reuse for the site. CAS’**

**“The Third Five-Year Review for Arkwood, which now cannot be changed, was finalized without the promised consideration of my formal comments and concerns. The errors and misstatements I pointed out in my concerns document persisted into the finalized version and became official and immutable.**

**“Stated otherwise: formal commentary from a concerned member of the public was willfully or neglectfully ignored by EPA during the production of the Third Five-Year Review for the Arkwood site.”**

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "[grish.org](http://grish.org)" <[curt@grish.org](mailto:curt@grish.org)>

**Subject: Re: Complaint of EPA staff non-responsiveness/ Arkwood Inc. Partial Deletion/ Fwd: formal request**

**Date:** March 22, 2012 12:57:16 PM PDT

**To:** Carlos Sanchez <[Sanchez.Carlos@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:Sanchez.Carlos@epamail.epa.gov)>

**Cc:** "grish.org" <[curt@grish.org](mailto:curt@grish.org)>, Charles Faultry <[Faultry.Charles@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:Faultry.Charles@epamail.epa.gov)>, Donald Williams <[Williams.Donald@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:Williams.Donald@epamail.epa.gov)>, Gloria-Small Moran <[Moran.Gloria-Small@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:Moran.Gloria-Small@epamail.epa.gov)>, Shawn Ghose <[Ghose.Shawn@epamail.epa.gov](mailto:Ghose.Shawn@epamail.epa.gov)>, [Armendariz.al@Epa.gov](mailto:Armendariz.al@Epa.gov), [woolford.james@Epa.gov](mailto:woolford.james@Epa.gov), [Coleman.sam@Epa.gov](mailto:Coleman.sam@Epa.gov), [marks@adeq.state.ar.us](mailto:marks@adeq.state.ar.us), [benefield@adeq.state.ar.us](mailto:benefield@adeq.state.ar.us), [Phillips.pam@Epa.gov](mailto:Phillips.pam@Epa.gov)

Mr. Sanchez,

Your reply is unsatisfactory.

As I indicated to Mr. Faultry yesterday and today, there are other outstanding communications from me that you have neglected besides the November 30, 2011 and November 23, 2011 letters I wrote to you.

The most important communication to you from me that you have ignored is my document containing my formal comments and concerns for the Draft Third Five-Year Review for Arkwood. I have attached my concerns document here again. It took me many hours to prepare.

I delivered this document to you on April 28, 2011, under the deadline you set. You acknowledged receipt on the same day, when you wrote to me:

"Thank You Mr. Grisham for your comment on the Five Year Review. We will consider your comments before we finalize the report. CAS"

In a reply that you took almost four months for you to make to my May 24, 2011 inquiry, you state:

"Mr. Grisham,

Sorry for the delay in completing and sending you the copy of the Third Five Year Review. Here it is. We are also working with the site attorney to respond to your comments and questions you had for the site. Also, we will check with the Region Reuse Coordinators and check with the potential future reuse for the site. CAS"

The Third Five-Year Review for Arkwood, which now cannot be changed, was finalized without the promised consideration of my formal comments and concerns. The errors and misstatements I pointed out in my concerns document persisted into the finalized version and became official and immutable.

Stated otherwise: formal commentary from a concerned member of the public was willfully or neglectfully ignored by EPA during the production of the Third Five-Year Review for the Arkwood site.

I consider that a serious failure.

Do you ever intend to fulfill your promise to respond to the comments and concerns I had for the site, which I formally submitted to you under deadline on April 28, 2011?

Can you tell me what caused your delay of more than three and one-half months in your response to my November 30, 2011 letter, when you knew time was of the essence in this matter?

Can you tell me what "misunderstanding" caused a delay of more than four months in your writing the promised letter to ADEQ regarding partial deletion for Arkwood?

I think I deserve some explanation of these failures as a concerned member of the public and as a taxpayer.

Charles Curtis Grisham, Jr.